

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,856	05/04/2001	Masafumi Takiguchi	450100-03207	9840
20999	7590 08/10/2006		EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			DUNN, MISHAWN N	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2621	·
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/849,856	TAKIGUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mishawn N. Dunn	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION.  ly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	<del></del>					
· —	·—					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	ELX Parte Quayre, 1955 O.D.	11, 400 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement	•				
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	a/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form P10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur		anaiyad				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	ist of the certified copies not re	ecewed.				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Su Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
<ul> <li>2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/849,856 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 07/20/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the combination of Seki et al. and Shim et al. does not teach or suggest the newly added limitation, "said phase-locked loop means extracts a data clock from said playback signal only when the envelope value of said playback signal is greater than or equal to predetermined value."

2. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Seki et al. discloses in col. 8, line 63 – col. 9, line 14 a pulse signal that is extracted from the playback signal when the detected envelope is greater than a predetermined threshold level, Lth. This in combination with Shim et al. does indeed disclose the claimed, "said phase-locked loop means extracts a data clock from said playback signal only when the envelope value of said playback signal is greater than or equal to predetermined value."

Application/Control Number: 09/849,856 Page 3

Art Unit: 2621

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seki et al. (US Pat. No. 5,450,253) in view of Shim et al. (US Pat. No. 6,307,822).
- 6. Regarding claim 1, Seki et al discloses, as stated in the last Office Action, a playback apparatus for extracting a playback signal from a recording medium without performing tracking control (Fig. 4 and col. 3, lines 21-29), said playback apparatus comprising: an adaptive equalizing circuit (filter 14 of Fig. 4, col. 5, lines 31-33) for performing equalization of said playback signal; and detection means (an envelope detector 19 of Fig. 4, from col. 8, line 59 to col. 9, line 13) for determining an envelope value of said playback signal, wherein said adaptive equalizing circuit is controlled in

Application/Control Number: 09/849,856

Art Unit: 2621

accordance with an envelope value from said detection means and said phase-locked loop means extracts a data clock from said playback signal only when the envelope value of said playback signal is greater than or equal to predetermined value (col. 8, line 63 – col. 9, line 14; fig. 5).

However, Seki et al does not specifically discloses the claimed phase-locked loop means responsive to said playback signal to control said adaptive equalizing circuit when a phase of a clock generated by the phase-locked loop means is locked to the playback signal, wherein said phase-locked loop means generates a detection signal indicating whether the phase of said clock is locked to the playback signal.

Shim et al. teaches that the waveform equalizer 12 and the Viterbi decoder 13 are controlled by the phase locked loop 15 so that the damaged signal reproduced from the recording medium can be restored (col. 1, lines 17-38) and that PLLOCK, a clock synchronization signal out put form PLL 23, detects whether the phase of the clock is locked to the playback signal (col. 5, lines 31-56).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the PLL as taught by Shim et al into Seki et al's system in order to increase the quality of the reproduced video signal by restoring the damaged reproduced video signal.

7. Regarding claim 2, Seki et al also discloses the claimed wherein said adaptive equalizing circuit (Fig. 2) comprises: a plurality of unit delay means (delay elements 21a, 21b, 21c, and 21d of Fig. 2, col. 6, lines 42-45) for delaying said playback signal in sequence; a plurality of weighting means (coefficient multipliers 22a, 22b, 22c, 22d, and

Art Unit: 2621

22e of Fig. 2, col. 6, lines 45-49) for performing weighting on each delayed signal; and additional means (adder 23a of Fig. 2, col. 6, lines 49-56) for adding together each weighted signal, and wherein each of the weighted signals of said plurality of weighting means is changed in accordance with said playback signal, and when the envelope value of said playback signal is more than or equal to a predetermined value, the coefficients in said weighting means are changed (an envelope detector 19 of Fig. 4, from col. 8, line 59 to col. 9, line 13).

- 8. Regarding claim 3, Shim also discloses the claimed wherein said phase-locked loop means is used for forming a signal locked to an arbitrary phase of said playback signal, such that when phase lock has been performed by said phase-locked loop means, the coefficients in said weighting means are changed (col. 1, lines 17-38).
- Claims 4-8 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in apparatus claims
   1-3 above.

#### Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/849,856 Page 6

Art Unit: 2621

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mishawn N. Dunn whose telephone number is 571-272-7635. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mishawn Dunn August 3, 2006

